



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
816838	01/07/86	FUSSI ET AL	—

EXAMINER	
F. WADDELL	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
125	# 9 1/2

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) F. WADDELL (3) K. FOLEY  
(2) KELLER (4) M. WILSON

Date of interview 8/14/87

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: ALL

Identification of prior art discussed: ALL

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: IN VIEW OF APPLICANTS' REMARKS THE REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC 112 AND 35 USC 102 ARE NO LONGER ADHERED TO; HOWEVER, IT WAS NOTED THAT "MORE PARTICULARLY" AND "AND FOLLOWING" IN CLAIM 10 SHOULD BE DELETED IN APPLICANTS' NEXT RESPONSE, IN CLAIM 12 "AN EFFECTIVE AMOUNT" SHOULD FOLLOW "CONTAINING" IN LINE 2. "LIGHTLY" IN ITEM H OF CLAIM 11 SHOULD BE "SLIGHTLY". THE 1977 AND 1978 THROMBOSIS RESEARCH ARTICLES ARE NO LONGER APPLIED. THE INVENTION WAS DISCUSSED AND THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PRIOR ART AND WHAT IS CLAIMED HEREIN WERE STRESSED. THE EXAMINER INDICATED THE METHOD OF USE CLAIMS CAN POSSIBLY BE ALLOWED IF CLEAR AND CONVINCING UNEXPECTED RESULTS ARE SHOWN EMPLOYING THE CLAIMED FRAGMENTS IN PLACE OF THOSE OF THE PRIOR ART. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

*F. Waddell*  
Examiner's Signature